Facilitators acknowledge that constructive criticism was given, and they commit to diligently posting materials as well as keeping the Task Force (TF) conversation flowing and on track.

A chart was presented to the TF of a representation of the three levels of licensure in MT. The TF discussed the unusual cases that are coming through the state more commonly than ever. The criteria that need to be met before a case is considered unusual as well as specific examples of unusual cases were shared.

The group discussed the PRAXIS and if it should remain as a requirement in ARM or not. Several TF members expressed support for the removal of the PRAXIS as well as a few TF members who felt the PRAXIS is not the issue in ARM.

The TF discussed some specific suggestions that could be changed in the chart that was presented to them. Sue Corrigan felt National Board Certification could be added under the Initial License portion. The suggestion to include accredited or state approved programs was discussed, and the idea that the PRAXIS could be included as an option in the chart, but not required in all cases.

The TF was given homework to complete the subchapters 3-6 before the following week.