

Chapter 57 Research and Review Task Force Meeting Summary

Tuesday, November 30, 2021

2:30 PM – 4:30 PM

Task Force (TF) begins discussion with Subchapter four. TF discusses the pros and cons of years of experience. TF first takes a vote on one year of experience, then three years of experience, and finally two years of experience. In the meeting, two years of experience passed.

NOTE: In review of the meeting recording and minutes, it was discovered Jule Walker's vote was not recorded as she made the motion. With Jule's yes vote for one year of successful teaching experience, the motion passes with 61%. The recommendation from the task force will move forward to the superintendent and all votes regarding the years of experience will be explained.

TF discusses 10.57.424 language after the recommendation to remove mentorship and induction. TF has no wish to change the language presented.

Julie Murgel shares research done of other states pathways for endorsements. The group reviews red line language in subchapter three. TF discusses alternative pathways to endorsements. The group takes a vote on the First Draft language presented and the vote fails. The TF discusses voting on an open ended recommendation to the superintendent to consider the possibilities of pathways to endorsement.

The motion is brought forward to remove language of a credible source from 10.57.601. ARM ending after "from a local school district board of trustees." The motion passes.

TF reviews subchapter one definitions. TF discusses coursework and what EPPs may need to define a portfolio. TF has no additional changes to the language and the definitions are moved on.

TF reviews discussion around military spouses and dependents in the CSPAC meeting. TF is in favor of including dependents in the definition.

TF adjourns. Changes made to Red Line language will be included in the ARM tracker. A final copy of the Superintendents recommendations to CSPAC will be shared with the TF.