Chapter 57 Research and Review Task Force Meeting Minutes
Thursday, September 30, 2021
1:00 PM – 3:00 PM

Roll Call

Task Force Members
Angela McLean
Christine Eggar
Dan Schmidt
Diane Fladmo
Erica Allen
Heather Jarrett
Jule Walker
John Melick
Mike Perry
Nick Schumacher
Sharon Carroll
Shaun Scott
Sue Corrigan

Quorum is met with 12 Task Force Members

Meeting start time: 1:03 pm

Welcome and Review Norms and Expectations

1. Julie Murgel welcomes the group and addresses concerns voiced by the TF

ARM Subchapter Redline Discussions

1. Facilitators discuss timeline and process and ask the TF what they would like to focus on in this meeting.
2. Sharon Carroll asks where Principal language was discussed to be eliminated.
   a. Julie Murgel clarifies that it was an organizational change and does not change content.
3. Sharon Carroll suggests the TF focus on reviewing the Redline document
   a. TF supports this suggestion.
4. Diane Fladmo asks if it would be possible to have meeting notes that follow along the redline document.
   a. The TF expresses support for this.
5. The Redline Document is shared with the TF
6. Beginning with 10.47.410 - Mentorship
   a. Julie Murgel walks through Redline recommendations
      i. Clarification that 5(b) is only criteria for initial license or for all applicants.
   b. Sharyl Allen poses the question of why mentorship is being included in Ch57 vs Ch55
      i. Discussion and clarification given by Jacob Williams and Dan Schmidt
c. Sharon Carroll asks what are “Accredited Prep programs that lead to licensure in another state”
   i. How do we decide what those quality programs are?
   ii. Julie Murgel responds and explains that if an EPP program is certified by the state where the program is located is certified, the OPI would recognize it.

d. Question voiced about what a 5C license is?
   i. Jacob Williams and Julie Murgel explain and clarify why the 5C is necessary with mentorship.

e. Diane Fladmo expresses she would like to see more information about states alternative programs not affiliated with an EPP.
   i. Julie Murgel commits to finding examples.

f. Situational discussion around individuals which have left an EPP

g. Julie Murgel explains 10.57.424 in class 5 licenses

h. Angela McLean makes comments about why mentorship has been included in Chapter 57
   i. Sharyl Allen makes comment about checks and balances between OPI and districts and asks if mentorship could be a barrier in licensure.

7. Discussion moves to the PRAXIS in licensure
   a. Mike Perry makes comment that he feels Praxis should not be a requirement of licensure.
   b. TF expresses support to the idea of an and/or (smorgasboard) options.
      i. John Melick explains the MAC system used at MSU
      ii. TF discusses what an and/or option may look like.
   c. Erica Allen makes comment that possibly the required GPA may need to be higher than 3.25
   d. Dan Schmidt expresses that content knowledge is not the core need in teaching children.

8. Discussion of six semester credits vs
   a.

9. Discussion of 10.57.411
   a. Julie Murgel explains redline changes.
   b. Christine asks question that applicants must have all the included requirements.
      i. Julie Murgel explains that yes the applicant must have all requirements.

10. 10.58.412
   b. John Melick makes comment that making the change to create more equity between six semester credits or 60 renewal units.
   c. Kristi Steinberg, a member of the public, makes note that school psychology is listed in 412 but is not a teaching endorsement on a class 1 or 2 license.
      i. Julie Murgel takes note that this needs to be corrected.
      ii. Nick Schumacher asks clarifying question about HS teachers teaching psychology classes.
         1. Julie Murgel clarifies

11. 10.57.413
a. Julie Murgel explains redline document and addresses concerns brought up earlier in meeting by Sharon Carroll.

b. Julie Murgel poses question to the TF if they would like 3 years or 5 years.
   i. Erica Allen responds with 3

12. 10.58.414
   a. Julie Murgel explains redline document
   b. Dan Schmidt asks if there have been external doctorates considered in administrative licenses?
      i. John Melick responds. He thinks the degree would be compared to the standards that must be met.
      ii. Dan responds with example of supt in Colorado. He asks if a smorgusboard of requirements should be considered for administration as well.
      iii. Angela McLean explains that research has been done and could be incorporated in ARM.

   i. John Melick explains the requirement for MT law and finance courses became required via the MT legislature
   ii. Julie Murgel explains unusual case related to this.

d. Sharon Carroll asks for clarification about the content of university law course
   i. Crystal Andrews asks for clarification if program changes have occurred to include MT specific content.
      1. Diane Fladmo points out she has attended courses that include MT specific content.
   ii. Diane Fladmo expresses importance to include collective bargaining in programs.

e. Kirk Miller, member of the public, makes comment about the subcommittee of OCHE recommendation to eliminate the needs for specific credits but take into account that out of state applicants may not be aware of MT specific cases. The subcommittee felt requirement would not necessarily need to be three separate courses.

13. 10.58.415 - 419
   a. Julie Murgel explains redline changes.

14. 10.58.420
   a. Julie Murgel explains redline changes and specific recommendations.

15. 10.58.421
   a. Julie Murgel explains she may need more information to clearly explain the agriculture recommendations

16. 10.57.437 – Dual Enrolment
   a. Julie Murgel poses question – is it required?

17. 10.57.221
   a. Julie Murgel explains the addition of reciprocity for military spouses

18. 10.57.422
   a. Julie Murgel explains the addition of

19. 10.57.107
i. Jule Walker makes comment that possibly ARM does not need to be added because districts have been doing this for 4 years?

ii. Crystal Andrews makes comment about emergency authorization being used for wrong purposes and ARM may clarify the process.

Next Steps

20. Julie Murgel expresses she does not feel the TF is ready to vote on recommendations.
   a. Too many topics that the TF has not had time to process.

21. TF will meet 10.7.21 at 1:00-3:30 PM

Meeting Adjourned: 3:35 PM