CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: Part I

for STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS under the ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT As amended in 2001

> For reporting on School Year 2018-19

> > Montana



PART I DUE Thursday, April 09, 2020

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION WASHINGTON, DC 20202

INTRODUCTION

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303¹ of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended by the *Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015(ESSA)*².

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 35.00 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

¹ SEC.8303. Consolidated Reporting – (a) In general: In order to simplify reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens, the Secretary shall establish procedures and criteria under which a State educational agency, in consultation with the Governor of the State, may submit a consolidated State annual report. (b) Contents: The report shall contain information about the programs included in the report, including the performance of the State under those programs, and other matters as the Secretary determines are necessary, such as monitoring activities. (c) Replacement: The report shall replace separate individual annual reports for the programs included in the consolidated State annual report.

² All citations to the ESEA in this document are to the ESEA, as amended by the ESSA.

OMB Number: 1810-0724 Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

Consolidated State Performance Report For State Formula Grant Programs under the Elementary And Secondary Education Act as amended in 2001

Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting: [X] Part I, 2018-19 [] Part II, 2018-19

Name of State Education Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report: Montana Office of Public Instruction

Address:

Person to contact about this report:

Name: Kristen Bokovoy Telephone: 406-444-2417 Fax: 406-444-1373 e-mail: kristen.bokovoy2@mt.gov

Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type): D.J. QuirinMai

Submitted Date and Time:

05/05/20 2:24:21 PM

1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

This section collects data on State's Annual State Report Cards required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the ESEA.

1.1.1 State Report Cards

Provide the url for your State's publicly posted Annual State Report	http://opi.mt.gov/Leadership/Academic-Success/Every-Student-
Card required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the ESEA.	Succeeds-Act-ESSA/Report-Card

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

This section collects data on student academic achievement and participation in the State assessments.

Note: States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that align with their accountability system.

1.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment, the number of students who took the mathematics assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who participated in the assessment and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children with disabilities, as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA), who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do <u>not</u> include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do <u>not</u> include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" should include recently arrived students who are identified as English Learners (ELs) and who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months. Do <u>not</u> include former ELs (i.e., those who exited EL status prior to the testing window within the past four years).

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,255	11,096	98.59%	11,103	5,389	48.54%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,231	1,198	97.32%	1,199	234	19.52%
Asian or Pacific Islander	76	76	100.00%	77	48	62.34%
Asian	49	49	100.00%	49	36	73.47%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	27	27	100.00%	28	12	42.86%
Black or African American	75	72	96.00%	72	26	36.11%
Hispanic or Latino	549	537	97.81%	537	184	34.26%
White	8,835	8,726	98.77%	8,731	4,709	53.93%
Two or more races	489	487	99.59%	487	188	38.60%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	1,534	1,483	96.68%	1,489	321	21.56%
English learners	323	319	98.76%	320	61	19.06%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,509	5,407	98.15%	5,412	1,940	35.85%
Children in foster care	229	223	97.38%	223	77	34.53%
Children who are homeless	276	267	96.74%	267	59	22.10%
Migratory students	38	38	100.00%	38	10	26.32%
Military connected students	129	126	97.67%	127	63	49.61%
Male	5,675	5,574	98.22%	5,577	2,784	49.92%
Female	5,580	5,522	98.96%	5,526	2,605	47.14%

1.2.1.1	Academic	Achievement and P	Participation in Mat	hematics Assessment	t – Grade 3
					# Ohudanta

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,698	11,500	98.31%	11,507	5,228	45.43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,291	1,242	96.20%	1,243	218	17.54%
Asian or Pacific Islander	105	103	98.10%	103	45	43.69%
Asian	79	77	97.47%	77	39	50.65%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	26	26	100.00%	26	6	23.08%
Black or African American	85	79	92.94%	79	27	34.18%
Hispanic or Latino	625	614	98.24%	615	219	35.61%
White	9,029	8,909	98.67%	8,914	4,504	50.53%
Two or more races	563	553	98.22%	553	215	38.88%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,575	1,515	96.19%	1,522	273	17.94%
English learners	415	396	95.42%	396	38	9.60%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,671	5,536	97.62%	5,540	1,801	32.51%
Children in foster care	216	210	97.22%	210	46	21.90%
Children who are homeless	254	237	93.31%	237	41	17.30%
Migratory students	51	50	98.04%	50	13	26.00%
Military connected students	142	141	99.30%	141	87	61.70%
Male	6,037	5,923	98.11%	5,927	2,786	47.01%
Female	5,661	5,577	98.52%	5,580	2,442	43.76%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	12,084	11,886	98.36%	11,896	4,797	40.32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,339	1,295	96.71%	1,300	178	13.69%
Asian or Pacific Islander	97	94	96.91%	94	45	47.87%
Asian	79	76	96.20%	76	37	48.68%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	18	18	100.00%	18	8	44.44%
Black or African American	95	92	96.84%	92	18	19.57%
Hispanic or Latino	593	583	98.31%	583	164	28.13%
White	9,352	9,228	98.67%	9,232	4,193	45.42%
Two or more races	608	594	97.70%	595	199	33.45%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,566	1,507	96.23%	1,517	207	13.65%
English learners	407	395	97.05%	395	29	7.34%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,831	5,700	97.75%	5,709	1,593	27.90%
Children in foster care	213	199	93.43%	199	37	18.59%
Children who are homeless	299	285	95.32%	287	38	13.24%
Migratory students	36	35	97.22%	35	5	14.29%
Military connected students	122	121	99.18%	121	54	44.63%
Male	6,192	6,084	98.26%	6,089	2,534	41.62%
Female	5,892	5,802	98.47%	5,807	2,263	38.97%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,716	11,523	98.35%	11,526	4,482	38.89%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,304	1,256	96.32%	1,256	170	13.54%
Asian or Pacific Islander	86	86	100.00%	86	40	46.51%
Asian	66	66	100.00%	66	37	56.06%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	20	20	100.00%	20	3	15.00%
Black or African American	86	83	96.51%	83	21	25.30%
Hispanic or Latino	618	610	98.71%	610	159	26.07%
White	9,150	9,028	98.67%	9,031	3,935	43.57%
Two or more races	472	460	97.46%	460	157	34.13%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,505	1,445	96.01%	1,448	163	11.26%
English learners	352	341	96.88%	341	16	4.69%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,386	5,264	97.73%	5,264	1,371	26.04%
Children in foster care	199	193	96.98%	193	33	17.10%
Children who are homeless	231	217	93.94%	217	28	12.90%
Migratory students	47	47	100.00%	47	7	14.89%
Military connected students	108	106	98.15%	106	68	64.15%
Male	6,048	5,953	98.43%	5,956	2,283	38.33%
Female	5,668	5,570	98.27%	5,570	2,199	39.48%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 7

Grade 7	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,732	11,488	97.92%	11,498	4,771	41.49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,299	1,233	94.92%	1,233	169	13.71%
Asian or Pacific Islander	125	122	97.60%	123	59	47.97%
Asian	90	89	98.89%	89	46	51.69%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	35	33	94.29%	34	13	38.24%
Black or African American	105	100	95.24%	100	24	24.00%
Hispanic or Latino	572	559	97.73%	560	162	28.93%
White	9,162	9,021	98.46%	9,029	4,213	46.66%
Two or more races	469	453	96.59%	453	144	31.79%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,528	1,456	95.29%	1,466	174	11.87%
English learners	263	245	93.16%	245	25	10.20%
Economically disadvantaged students	4,978	4,814	96.71%	4,820	1,290	26.76%
Children in foster care	165	161	97.58%	161	27	16.77%
Children who are homeless	228	202	88.60%	202	30	14.85%
Migratory students	39	36	92.31%	36	9	25.00%
Military connected students	132	129	97.73%	129	65	50.39%
Male	6,086	5,960	97.93%	5,965	2,487	41.69%
Female	5,646	5,528	97.91%	5,533	2,284	41.28%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,084	10,768	97.15%	10,778	3,932	36.48%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,265	1,184	93.60%	1,186	145	12.23%
Asian or Pacific Islander	119	117	98.32%	117	69	58.97%
Asian	95	94	98.95%	94	64	68.09%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	24	23	95.83%	23	5	21.74%
Black or African American	99	93	93.94%	93	20	21.51%
Hispanic or Latino	573	554	96.68%	554	139	25.09%
White	8,619	8,424	97.74%	8,432	3,441	40.81%
Two or more races	409	396	96.82%	396	118	29.80%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	1,393	1,296	93.04%	1,305	116	8.89%
English learners	233	215	92.27%	215	11	5.12%
Economically disadvantaged students	4,582	4,386	95.72%	4,393	1,040	23.67%
Children in foster care	153	143	93.46%	143	17	11.89%
Children who are homeless	217	202	93.09%	203	17	8.37%
Migratory students	39	39	100.00%	39	6	15.38%
Military connected students	66	64	96.97%	64	34	53.13%
Male	5,642	5,472	96.99%	5,479	1,892	34.53%
Female	5,442	5,296	97.32%	5,299	2,040	38.50%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	9,806	9,276	94.60%	9,273	3,125	33.70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	951	803	84.44%	799	78	9.76%
Asian or Pacific Islander	118	114	96.61%	114	40	35.09%
Asian	100	96	96.00%	96	37	38.54%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	18	18	100.00%	18	3	16.67%
Black or African American	90	80	88.89%	80	12	15.00%
Hispanic or Latino	434	413	95.16%	413	109	26.39%
White	7,902	7,579	95.91%	7,580	2,810	37.07%
Two or more races	311	287	92.28%	287	76	26.48%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	958	824	86.01%	826	74	8.96%
English learners	132	98	74.24%	98	2	2.04%
Economically disadvantaged students	3,073	2,756	89.68%	2,754	488	17.72%
Children in foster care	85	72	84.71%	71	5	7.04%
Children who are homeless	212	176	83.02%	176	18	10.23%
Migratory students	35	34	97.14%	34	6	17.65%
Military connected students	71	69	97.18%	69	27	39.13%
Male	4,968	4,684	94.28%	4,682	1,700	36.31%
Female	4,838	4,592	94.92%	4,591	1,425	31.04%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the reading/language arts assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the reading/language arts assessment, the number of students who took the reading/language arts assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do <u>not</u> include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do <u>not</u> include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment. Do <u>not</u> include former ELs.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

1.2.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 3

Orada 2	# Students	# Students	Percentage of Students	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was	# Students Scoring at or Above	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above
Grade 3 All students	Enrolled 11.255	Participating	Participating 98.75%	Assigned	Proficient	Proficient 48.33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,231	11,114 1,201	97.56%	11,115 1,202	5,372 231	19.22%
Asian or Pacific Islander	76	74	97.37%	75	41	54.67%
Asian	49	47	95.92%	47	29	61.70%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	27	27	100.00%	28	12	42.86%
Black or African American	75	71	94.67%	68	26	38.24%
Hispanic or Latino	549	538	98.00%	537	206	38.36%
White	8,835	8,743	98.96%	8,746	4,676	53.46%
Two or more races	489	487	99.59%	487	192	39.43%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,534	1,495	97.46%	1,501	322	21.45%
English Learners	323	317	98.14%	313	44	14.06%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,509	5,417	98.33%	5,417	1,945	35.91%
Children in foster care	229	226	98.69%	226	68	30.09%
Children who are homeless	276	267	96.74%	267	73	27.34%
Migratory students	38	38	100.00%	38	12	31.58%
Military connected students	129	127	98.45%	127	73	57.48%
Male	5,675	5,587	98.45%	5,588	2,482	44.42%
Female	5,580	5,527	99.05%	5,527	2,890	52.29%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,698	11,534	98.60%	11,537	5,458	47.31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,291	1,251	96.90%	1,252	250	19.97%
Asian or Pacific Islander	105	100	95.24%	99	43	43.43%
Asian	79	74	93.67%	73	40	54.79%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	26	26	100.00%	26	3	11.54%
Black or African American	85	80	94.12%	79	24	30.38%
Hispanic or Latino	625	617	98.72%	618	212	34.30%
White	9,029	8,931	98.91%	8,934	4,696	52.56%
Two or more races	563	555	98.58%	555	233	41.98%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,575	1,523	96.70%	1,529	300	19.62%
English Learners	415	397	95.66%	394	43	10.91%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,671	5,565	98.13%	5,567	1,877	33.72%
Children in foster care	216	211	97.69%	211	46	21.80%
Children who are homeless	254	240	94.49%	240	52	21.67%
Migratory students	51	50	98.04%	50	15	30.00%
Military connected students	142	141	99.30%	141	84	59.57%
Male	6,037	5,943	98.44%	5,943	2,593	43.63%
Female	5,661	5,591	98.76%	5,594	2,865	51.22%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	12,084	11,903	98.50%	11,908	6,369	53.49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,339	1,305	97.46%	1,310	302	23.05%
Asian or Pacific Islander	97	92	94.85%	92	57	61.96%
Asian	79	74	93.67%	74	48	64.86%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	18	18	100.00%	18	9	50.00%
Black or African American	95	90	94.74%	86	37	43.02%
Hispanic or Latino	593	584	98.48%	583	240	41.17%
White	9,352	9,235	98.75%	9,239	5,457	59.06%
Two or more races	608	597	98.19%	598	276	46.15%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,566	1,512	96.55%	1,522	296	19.45%
English Learners	407	395	97.05%	390	42	10.77%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,831	5,712	97.96%	5,716	2,328	40.73%
Children in foster care	213	203	95.31%	203	58	28.57%
Children who are homeless	299	291	97.32%	292	78	26.71%
Migratory students	36	35	97.22%	35	12	34.29%
Military connected students	122	121	99.18%	121	86	71.07%
Male	6,192	6,092	98.39%	6,095	3,005	49.30%
Female	5,892	5,811	98.63%	5,813	3,364	57.87%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,716	11,531	98.42%	11,528	5,876	50.97%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,304	1,259	96.55%	1,259	270	21.45%
Asian or Pacific Islander	86	86	100.00%	85	52	61.18%
Asian	66	66	100.00%	65	43	66.15%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	20	20	100.00%	20	9	45.00%
Black or African American	86	82	95.35%	79	28	35.44%
Hispanic or Latino	618	608	98.38%	607	245	40.36%
White	9,150	9,035	98.74%	9,037	5,066	56.06%
Two or more races	472	461	97.67%	461	215	46.64%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,505	1,456	96.74%	1,459	223	15.28%
English Learners	352	342	97.16%	336	20	5.95%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,386	5,267	97.79%	5,262	1,988	37.78%
Children in foster care	199	193	96.98%	193	53	27.46%
Children who are homeless	231	219	94.81%	218	48	22.02%
Migratory students	47	47	100.00%	47	12	25.53%
Military connected students	108	106	98.15%	106	79	74.53%
Male	6,048	5,957	98.50%	5,958	2,677	44.93%
Female	5,668	5,574	98.34%	5,570	3,199	57.43%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 7

Grade 7	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,732	11,485	97.89%	11,491	5,967	51.93%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,299	1,234	95.00%	1,234	285	23.10%
Asian or Pacific Islander	125	121	96.80%	122	76	62.30%
Asian	90	88	97.78%	88	58	65.91%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	35	33	94.29%	34	18	52.94%
Black or African American	105	101	96.19%	98	35	35.71%
Hispanic or Latino	572	557	97.38%	557	219	39.32%
White	9,162	9,019	98.44%	9,027	5,158	57.14%
Two or more races	469	453	96.59%	453	194	42.83%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	1,528	1,457	95.35%	1,467	217	14.79%
English Learners	263	248	94.30%	244	30	12.30%
Economically disadvantaged students	4,978	4,815	96.73%	4,818	1,782	36.99%
Children in foster care	165	156	94.55%	156	43	27.56%
Children who are homeless	228	207	90.79%	207	53	25.60%
Migratory students	39	34	87.18%	34	11	32.35%
Military connected students	132	129	97.73%	129	76	58.91%
Male	6,086	5,971	98.11%	5,975	2,657	44.47%
Female	5,646	5,514	97.66%	5,516	3,310	60.01%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment - Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,084	10,803	97.46%	10,810	5,161	47.74%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,265	1,195	94.47%	1,197	239	19.97%
Asian or Pacific Islander	119	117	98.32%	117	75	64.10%
Asian	95	94	98.95%	94	71	75.53%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	24	23	95.83%	23	4	17.39%
Black or African American	99	92	92.93%	91	32	35.16%
Hispanic or Latino	573	561	97.91%	560	213	38.04%
White	8,619	8,441	97.93%	8,448	4,440	52.56%
Two or more races	409	397	97.07%	397	162	40.81%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,393	1,304	93.61%	1,312	170	12.96%
English Learners	233	216	92.70%	214	21	9.81%
Economically disadvantaged students	4,582	4,407	96.18%	4,412	1,513	34.29%
Children in foster care	153	140	91.50%	140	36	25.71%
Children who are homeless	217	203	93.55%	203	36	17.73%
Migratory students	39	37	94.87%	37	9	24.32%
Military connected students	66	63	95.45%	63	44	69.84%
Male	5,642	5,488	97.27%	5,494	2,139	38.93%
Female	5,442	5,315	97.67%	5,316	3,022	56.85%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	9,806	9,183	93.65%	9,273	4,293	46.30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	951	786	82.65%	799	144	18.02%
Asian or Pacific Islander	118	114	96.61%	114	56	49.12%
Asian	100	96	96.00%	96	50	52.08%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	18	18	100.00%	18	6	33.33%
Black or African American	90	81	90.00%	80	25	31.25%
Hispanic or Latino	434	407	93.78%	413	145	35.11%
White	7,902	7,511	95.05%	7,580	3,803	50.17%
Two or more races	311	284	91.32%	287	120	41.81%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	958	769	80.27%	826	88	10.65%
English Learners	132	95	71.97%	98	4	4.08%
Economically disadvantaged students	3,073	2,715	88.35%	2,754	799	29.01%
Children in foster care	85	71	83.53%	71	18	25.35%
Children who are homeless	212	168	79.25%	176	35	19.89%
Migratory students	35	34	97.14%	34	12	35.29%
Military connected students	71	69	97.18%	69	39	56.52%
Male	4,968	4,609	92.77%	4,682	1,898	40.54%
Female	4,838	4,574	94.54%	4,591	2,395	52.17%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the science assessment, the number of students who took the science assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

1.2.3.1	Academic Achievement and Participati	on in Science Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native Asian or Pacific						
Islander						
Asian						
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities (IDEA)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,695	11,488	98.23%	11,493	7,967	69.32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,291	1,242	96.20%	1,243	439	35.32%
Asian or Pacific Islander	105	102	97.14%	102	72	70.59%
Asian	79	76	96.20%	76	56	73.68%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	26	26	100.00%	26	16	61.54%
Black or African American	85	80	94.12%	80	40	50.00%
Hispanic or Latino	625	608	97.28%	609	369	60.59%
White	9,026	8,903	98.64%	8,906	6,699	75.22%
Two or more races	563	553	98.22%	553	348	62.93%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,574	1,521	96.63%	1,526	695	45.54%
English Learners	415	397	95.66%	397	96	24.18%
Economically disadvantaged students	5,669	5,554	97.97%	5,556	3,197	57.54%
Children in foster care	216	212	98.15%	212	94	44.34%
Children who are homeless	254	238	93.70%	238	103	43.28%
Migratory students	51	50	98.04%	50	25	50.00%
Military connected students	142	140	98.59%	140	109	77.86%
Male	6,035	5,927	98.21%	5,929	4,230	71.34%
Female	5,660	5,561	98.25%	5,564	3,737	67.16%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 5

	# Students	# Students	Percentage of Students	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was	# Students Scoring at or Above	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above
Grade 5 All students	Enrolled	Participating	Participating	Assigned	Proficient	Proficient
All students American Indian						
or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
Asian						
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more						
races						
Children with						
disabilities (IDEA)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 6

Romevement and F		nee Assessment - O			
				# Chudonto	Deveenters of
		Dereenters of			Percentage of
# O (+ + - + - + + -	# O tendents				Students Scoring
					at or Above Proficient
Enroned	Participating	Participating	Assigned	Froncient	Proficient
	# Students Enrolled	# Students # Students	Percentage of # Students # Students Students	# Students # Students Students Level was	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom # Students # Students

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 7

1.2.3.5 Academic	Achievement and I		ence Assessment – G	# Students		
				who Received		
				a Valid Score		
				and for Whom	# Students	Percentage of
			Percentage of	a Proficiency	Scoring at or	Students Scoring
	# Students	# Students	Students	Level was	Above	at or Above
Grade 7	Enrolled	Participating	Participating	Assigned	Proficient	Proficient
All students						
American Indian						
or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific						
Islander						
Asian						
Native Hawaiian						
or other Pacific						
Islander						
Black or African						
American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more						
races						
Children with						
disabilities (IDEA)						
English Learners						
Economically						
disadvantaged						
students						
Children in foster						
care						
Children who are						
homeless						
Migratory						
students						
Military						
connected						
students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	11,076	10,784	97.36%	10,791	7,369	68.29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,265	1,186	93.75%	1,188	428	36.03%
Asian or Pacific Islander	119	114	95.80%	114	89	78.07%
Asian	95	92	96.84%	92	82	89.13%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	24	22	91.67%	22	7	31.82%
Black or African American	98	93	94.90%	93	43	46.24%
Hispanic or Latino	572	560	97.90%	560	321	57.32%
White	8,614	8,437	97.95%	8,442	6,234	73.85%
Two or more races	408	394	96.57%	394	254	64.47%
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	1,388	1,306	94.09%	1,314	447	34.02%
English Learners	233	210	90.13%	210	31	14.76%
Economically disadvantaged students	4,577	4,411	96.37%	4,416	2,468	55.89%
Children in foster care	153	145	94.77%	145	64	44.14%
Children who are homeless	217	192	88.48%	191	57	29.84%
Migratory students	39	39	100.00%	39	20	51.28%
Military connected students	66	66	100.00%	66	55	83.33%
Male	5,637	5,481	97.23%	5,485	3,655	66.64%
Female	5,439	5,303	97.50%	5,306	3,714	70.00%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	10,480	10,112	96.49%	10,112	4,634	45.83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1,079	990	91.75%	990	169	17.07%
Asian or Pacific Islander	119	113	94.96%	113	57	50.44%
Asian	100	96	96.00%	96	49	51.04%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	19	17	89.47%	17	8	47.06%
Black or African American	102	94	92.16%	94	30	31.91%
Hispanic or Latino	460	443	96.30%	443	130	29.35%
White	8,409	8,175	97.22%	8,175	4,114	50.32%
Two or more races	311	297	95.50%	297	134	45.12%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	1,164	1,095	94.07%	1,095	173	15.80%
English Learners	186	163	87.63%	163	5	3.07%
Economically disadvantaged students	3,621	3,418	94.39%	3,418	1,041	30.46%
Children in foster care	121	113	93.39%	113	17	15.04%
Children who are homeless	208	187	89.90%	187	32	17.11%
Migratory students	35	34	97.14%	34	13	38.24%
Military connected students	68	66	97.06%	66	36	54.55%
Male	5,414	5,222	96.45%	5,222	2,433	46.59%
Female	5,066	4,890	96.53%	4,890	2,201	45.01%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4 Assessment Participation by Assessment Type for Children with Disabilities

This section collects information on children with disabilities' participation in the mathematics, reading/language arts, and science assessments.

1.2.4.1 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) with disabilities (*IDEA*) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do <u>not</u> include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	3,924	41.19%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	4,866	51.08%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	736	7.73%
Total	9,526	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Participation EdFacts files were resubmitted. The above may not be reflective of the correct data.

1.2.4.2 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Mathematics

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
736	77,537	0.95%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No comment.

1.2.4.2.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students	NO
assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in	
SY2018-19?	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No, the state did not receive a receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in SY2018-19 as the overall student tested participation rate was 0.97% which is below 1.00% and for the percent of students expected to test, this rate was at 1.06% which rounds down to 1.00%.

1.2.4.3 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Note: For this question only, report on children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who are also ELs in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP assessment in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	4,591	48.25%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	4,185	43.98%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	739	7.77%
Recently arrived ELs, took ELP	1	0.01%
Total	9,516	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.4 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Reading/Language Arts

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
739	77,553	0.95%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.4.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students	NO
assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards in	
reading/language arts in SY2018-19?	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No, the state did not receive a receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the

alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in reading/language arts in SY2018-19 as the overall student tested

participation rate was 0.97% which is below 1.00% and for the percent of students expected to test, this rate was at 1.06% which rounds down to 1.00%.

1.2.4.5 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment

The data provided should include science participation results from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do <u>not</u> include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do <u>not</u> include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	1,880	47.93%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	1,734	44.21%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	308	7.85%
Total	3,922	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.6 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Science

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
308	32,384	0.95%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.6.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of	NO
students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement	
standards in science in SY2018-19?	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No, the state did not receive a receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the

alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards science in SY2018-19 as the for the percent of students expected to test, this rate was at 0.97% which is below 1.00%.

1.2.5 Assessments for ELs

This section collects information on EL assessment results.

1.2.5.1 EL Provisions for Content Assessments

This sub-section collects information on provisions provided to ELs.

1.2.5.1.1 Recently Arrived ELs Taking ELP Assessments in Lieu of Reading/Language Arts Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived ELs included in the participation counts in 1.2 who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under Section 1111(b)(3)(A)(i) of the ESEA.

Recently Arrived Els	#
Recently arrived ELs who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's	28
reading/language arts assessment	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No comment

1.2.5.1.2

In the table below, indicate whether native language assessments were offered in mathematics, reading/languages arts, and science. Write "Yes" if the specified assessment is used for accountability purposes. List languages, other than English, in which content tests were offered.

Native Language Testing	Yes/No	If yes, are the tests considered to be trans- adapted assessments?	Language(s)
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		
State offers the State science content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No comment

1.2.5.2 Performance of ELs on State ELP Assessment

In the table below, please provide for all ELs: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
All ELs	3,045	14	784	31.84%	87	2.86%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

No comment

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, and for ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	2,561	14	401	19.58%	45	1.76%
ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	444	0	96	24.43%	0	0.00%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.3.1 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Exited Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) based on Attaining ELP

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have exited an LIEP based on attaining ELP.

	#	%*			
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who exited	111	3.96%			
* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.					

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.3.2 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Not Attained Proficiency in 5 Years

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have not attained proficiency within five years.

	#	%*
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who have not	1,378	97.25%
attained proficiency within 5 years		

* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status

This section collects data on the performance of students who have exited EL status as required by Sections 3121(a)(5) and 3122(a) of the ESEA.

1.2.5.4.1 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status by Year

In the table below, report the count of students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status for each of the four years after such students have exited EL status.

# Year One	# Year Two	# Year Three	# Year Four	Total
70	61	172	188	491

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.2 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Mathematics

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	49	32.65%	2	
Year Two	49	38.78%	6	16.67%
Year Three	132	28.79%	12	
Year Four	125	17.60%	11	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.3 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Reading/Language Arts

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested		Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - #	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	49	44.90%	2	
Year Two	50	42.00%	6	33.33%
Year Three	133	35.34%	12	
Year Four	124	29.03%	12	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.4 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Science

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual science assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	14	28.57%	2	50.00%
Year Two	9	55.56%	2	50.00%
Year Three	38	47.37%	5	20.00%
Year Four	53	35.85%	7	28.57%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.6 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

1.2.6.1 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

This section requests information on your State's use of funds under Section 1201 of ESEA.

1.2.6.1.1 Percentages of Funds Used for Standards and Assessment Development and Other Purposes

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the ESEA (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest ten percent).

Purpose	Percentage (rounded to the nearest ten percent)
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i>	30.00%
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA or to carry out other activities described in Section 1201 of the ESEA	70.00%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.6.1.2 Uses of Funds for Purposes Other than Standards and Assessment Development

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the *ESEA* (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the *ESEA*, for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter "yes" for all that apply and "no" for all that do not apply).

Purpose	Used for Purpose (yes/no)
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA	YES
Ensuring the provision of appropriate accommodations available to ELs and children with disabilities to	
improve the rates of inclusion in regular assessments of such children, including professional development	YES
activities to improve the implementation of such accommodations in instructional practice.	
Developing challenging State academic standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which	NO
standards and assessments are not required under Section 1111(b) of the ESEA.	NO
Developing or improving assessments of ELs, including assessments of ELP as required under Section	
1111(b)(2)(G) of the <i>ESEA</i> and academic assessments in languages other than English to meet the State's	YES
obligations under Section 1111(b)(2)(F) of the ESEA.	
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments.	YES
Refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with challenging academic standards and to	NO
improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials.	NO
Developing or improving balanced assessment systems that include summative, interim, and formative	
assessments, including supporting local educational agencies in developing and improving such	YES
assessments.	
At the discretion of the State, refining science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA in	YES
order to integrate engineering design skills and practices into such assessments.	120
Developing or improving models to measure and assess student progress or student growth on State	
assessments under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA and other assessments not required under Section	NO
Developing or improving assessments for children with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to	
alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities	YES
described in Section 1111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA, and using the principles of universal design for learning.	
Allowing for collaboration with institutions of higher education, other research institutions, or other	
organizations to improve the quality, validity, and reliability of State academic assessments beyond the	YES
requirements for such assessments described in Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.	
Measuring student academic achievement using multiple measures of student academic achievement from	YES
multiple sources.	
Evaluating student academic achievement through the development of comprehensive academic assessment	
instruments (such as performance and technology based academic assessments, computer adaptive	YES
assessments, projects, or extended performance task assessments) that emphasize the mastery of standards	
and aligned competencies in a competency-based education model. Designing report cards and reports under Section 1111(h) of the ESEA in an easily accessible, user-friendly	
manner that cross-tabulates student information by any category the State determines appropriate, as long as such cross-tabulations (i) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student, and	NO
(ii) is derived from existing State and local reporting requirements.	
Other	NO

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3 TEACHERS

In the tables below, provide the number of teachers for each of the school types listed and the number of teachers who are inexperienced, emergency or provisional credentialed, or out of field. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are FAQs about these data.

1.3.1 Inexperienced Teachers

In the table below, provide information on the experience of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

		# (FTE) Inexperienced	Percentage Inexperienced
School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	Teachers	Teachers
High-poverty schools	4,658.44	257.66	5.53%
Low-poverty schools	6,223.50	194.98	3.13%
Total schools	21,024.84	834.89	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3.2 Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

In the table below, provide information on the credentials of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials	Percentage Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials
High-poverty schools	4,658.44	54.70	1.17%
Low-poverty schools	6,223.50	36.33	0.58%
Total schools	21,024.84	140.74	

OMB NO. 1810-0724

1.3.3 Teachers Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for which they are Certified or Licensed

In the table below, provide information on teachers not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed	Percentage teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed
High-poverty schools	4,658.44	28.53	0.61%
Low-poverty schools	6,223.50	11.77	0.19%
Total schools	21,024.84	65.93	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3.4 Poverty Quartile Breaks

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles.

	High-Poverty Schools (more than what %)	Low-Poverty Schools (less than what %)
All schools	65.00%	27.20%
Poverty metric used	Rank order all schools from lowest to highest on MT percentage poverty measu and reduced lunch number). Divide the list into four equal groups(when possi	
	Schools in the first are low-poverty schools. Schools in the highest group are the high-	
	Schools in the first are low-poverty school	is. Schools in the highest group are the high-

FAQ:

How are the poverty quartiles determined? Rank order all schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

1.4.1 EL Enrollment Data

1.4.1.1 Number of ALL ELs Enrolled in the State

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ALL ELs in the State who meet the EL definition under Section 8101(21) of the ESEA.

- Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled ELs, whether or not they receive services in a Title III LIEP.
- Do not include students previously identified as ELs (as described in Section 1111(b)(3)(B) of the ESEA) or students who exit LIEPs based on attainment of ELP (as described in Section 3121(a)(4) of the ESEA) in the ALL EL student count in this table.

Total Els	#
Number of ALL ELs in the State	3,390
Number of ELs identified as children with disabilities	667

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.1.2 Number of ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ELs in the State served by LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds.

ELs Receiving Services	#
ELs enrolled in LEAs receiving Title III funds who are served by a LIEP	2,805

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.2 LIEPs

Note: Section 3201(7) – The term 'LIEP' means an instruction course – (A) in which an EL is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency, while meeting challenging State academic standards; and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child's native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English and a second language.

In the table below, identify the language of instruction (other than English) next to each type of LIEP implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3201(7), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1) and 3122(b)(1) of the ESEA.

LIEPS	Language of instruction (if applicable)	Number of ELs Enrolled
Transitional Bilingual Dual Language or Two-way Immersion	North American Indian language	4
English as Second language (ESL) or English Language Development (ELD)		
Content Classes with integrated ESL support	English	2,801
Newcomer programs		
Other		

Explain in the comment box below if the State has any additional LIEPs that are not captured in the table above.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

1.4.3 Most Commonly Spoken Languages in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all ELs, not just ELs enrolled in LEAs that received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of ELs speaking each of the languages listed. Report additional languages with significant numbers of ELs in the comment section.

Language	# ELs
English	2,296
German	384
Spanish; Castilian	346
Uncoded languages	87
North American Indian	65

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.4

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3122 (b)(5) of the ESEA.

In the table below, report the unduplicated headcount of teachers who are working in LIEPs as defined under Section 3302(7) of the ESEA and reported in CSPR Section 1.4.2 (LIEPs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

Number of teachers serving in LIEPs (including core content (reading, math, science and social studies) in LEAs receiving Title III funds	Number of certified, licensed or endorsed teachers serving in LIEP in LEAs receiving Title III funds	How many ESL/bilingual education endorsed teachers will be needed for the succeeding 5 fiscal years.*
720	720	50

*This number should be the total <u>additional</u> teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do <u>not</u> include the number of teachers <u>currently</u> working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.5 Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of ELs

This section aggregates data on LEA-level activities that support the education of ELs with Title III funds.

In the tables below, provide information about allowable activities conducted under Section 3115 of the ESEA.

Activities serving ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds	# LEAs that conducted activity
Supporting the development and implementation of LIEPs	15
Enhancing existing LIEPs and programs for restructuring and reforming schools with ELs	0
Supporting implementation of school wide programs	21
Professional development to teachers and other personnel serving ELs	15
Parent and community engagement activities	0
Supporting the development and implementation of pre-school programs	0
Improving LIEPs by upgrading curricula, instructional materials, software and assessment procedures	17
Improving instruction of ELs with disabilities	0
Providing tutorials, career and technical education	0
Offering programs to help ELs achieve success in post-secondary education	0
Other	0

If State reports a nonzero count of LEAs under "Other", please describe the activities that were conducted during SY2018-19 in the comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.6 Assistance Provided by SEAs under Section 3111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA

Provide information on technical assistance and other forms of assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that are receiving Title III subgrants.

Activities	Check all that apply
Identifying and implementing effective LIEPs and curricula for teaching English learners	YES
Helping ELs meet the same challenging State academic standards that all children are expected to meet	NO
Identifying or developing, and implementing, measures of English proficiency	YES
Strengthening and increasing parent, family, and community engagement in programs that serve ELs	NO
Providing recognition, which may include providing financial awards, to recipients of subgrants under section 3115 that have significantly improved the achievement and progress of ELs	NO
Other	NO

If "Other" is checked, please provide information in the comment box below on the types of technical assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that aren't captured by the first five types of activities in the table.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

In the table below, report the <u>unduplicated</u> number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and the number of immigrant students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*.

Note: Not all immigrant students are ELs.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d)	# of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants
280	136	4

If State reports zero (0) students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) or the ESEA or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments:The response is limited to 4,000 characters. No comment

Table 1.4.7 Definitions:

1. Immigrant Students Enrolled: Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3201(5) of the ESEA and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.

2. Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d): Number of immigrant students enrolled in LEAs receiving funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA. This number should <u>not</u> include immigrant students who are enrolled in an LEA that receives Title III funds under Section 3114(a) of the ESEA, but not Section 3114(d) of the ESEA.

3. 3114(d) Subgrants: Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, with funds reserved for immigrant children and youth. Do <u>not</u> include in the count LEAs that receive Title III English language acquisition subgrants made under Section 3114(a) of the *ESEA*, but that do not receive subgrants under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, even if immigrant students are enrolled in those LEAs.

1.4.8 State Subgrant Activities

This section collects data on State subgrant activities.

1.4.8.1 State Subgrant Process

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from the U.S. Department of Education (ED), normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

Example: State received SY2018-19 funds July 1, 2018, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2018, for SY2018-19 programs. Then the "# of days/\$\$ Distribution" is 30 days.

Date State Received Allocation	Date Funds Available to Subgrantees	# of Days/\$\$ Distribution
7/1/2020	7/1/2020	0

Table 1.4.8.1 Definitions:

1. Date State Received Allocation = Date the State receives the Title III allocation from ED.

2. Date Funds Available to Subgrantees = Date that Title III funds are available to approved subgrantees.

3. *#* of Days/\$\$ Distribution = Average number of days for States receiving Title III funds to make subgrants to subgrantees beginning from July 1 of each year, except under conditions where funds are being withheld.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.8.2 Steps to Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

1.4.9 Title III Subgrantees

This section collects data on the performance of Title III subgrantees.

1.4.9.1 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs

This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3122(b)(7) of the ESEA.

Termination of Title III Programs	Yes / No
Were any Title III language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?	NO
If yes, provide the number of language instruction educational programs or activities terminated.	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.5 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B "Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools" in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at: http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf.

Persistently Dangerous Schools	#
Persistently Dangerous Schools	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

The state has zero (0) persistently dangerous schools.

1.6 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs without subgrants	463	463
LEAs with subgrants	24	24
Total	487	487

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.6.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

1.6.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated:

Age/Grade	# of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	53
К	352
1	300
2	305
3	341
4	307
5	354
6	294
7	292
8	291
9	290
10	299
11	307
12	431
Ungraded	0
Total	4,216

1.6.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when he/she was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Primary Nighttime Residence	# of Homeless Children/Youth	# of Homeless Children/Youth who are Unaccompanied
Shelters and transitional housing	331	33
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	3,111	630
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)	398	44
Hotels/Motels	375	13
Total	4,215	720

1.6.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Special Population	# Homeless Children/Youth
Unaccompanied homeless youth	720
Migrant children/youth	30
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	875
English Learners (ELs)	355

1.6.2 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of young homeless children who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

	# Homeless Children/Youth Served by Subgrants	
Age/Grade		
Age Birth Through 2	171	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	195	
Total	366	